

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,196	02/13/2002		Kimio Tsunemasu	8037-1001	5276
466	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &		PSON TREET 2ND FLOOR	MITCHELL, JAMES M		
ARLINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2827	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/073,196	TSUNEMASU, KIMIO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James M. Mitchell	2827						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleter of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repli ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	June 2003 .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority document								
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has been	n received.						
Attachment(s)	-							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US 5,578,527) in combination with Potter (US 6,444,563).
- 4. Chang (Fig 1A) discloses a semiconductor device (30) having a mounting surface (not labeled) that is attached to a circuit board (10; via substrate with conductors), plural reinforcing pads (32) on said mounting surface of said semiconductor device that are each adjacent to a respective corner of said mounting surface, and plural first solder balls (22; Col. 3, Lines 29-36) on each reinforcing pads, each of said plural first solder balls separately attaching the semiconductor device to the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196

Art Unit: 2827

circuit board, wherein said reinforcing pads each have a periphery adjacent to said plural ones of said first solder balls; with a plural second solder balls (via in contact with center pad portion) and not on reinforcing pads (via end pads).

- 5. Chang does not appear to disclose that the pads when seen in plan view of said mounting surface is at least semicircular.
- 6. Potter (Fig 5) utilizes pads (12, 22) that are at least semicircular.
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form conductive material that is least semicircular on Chang in order to provide pads as required by Chang.
- 8. Claims 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US 5,578,527) in combination Darveaux et al. (U.S 6,201,305).
- 9. Chang discloses elements stated in paragraph 4 and further discloses at least two balls at ends that are away from the center and therefore distal, that is in line with the periphery of the pad, but does not appear to disclose the reinforcing pad comprises a V shape.
- 10. However Darveaux (Fig 3A) utilizes an X shape pad (28) that is formed of V shapes with a periphery that has roundness that when seen in a plan view is semicircular.
- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form conductive material that is X shape, which also comprises a V shape on Chang, such that the balls are formed are at distal ends of the V shaped pads with semicircular ends in order to provide pads as required by Chang.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196 Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

- 12. In any case, absent evidence of criticality, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to form the reinforcing pads in any shape including V, or semicircular because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).
- 13. Claims 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu (US 5,955,784) in combination with Potter (US 6,444,563).
- 14. Chiu (Fig 1a, 6; Col. 2, Lines 35-36) discloses a semiconductor device (10) having a mounting surface (not labeled) that is attached to a circuit board (Col. 1, Lines 34-43), plural reinforcing pads (11) on said mounting surface of said semiconductor device that are each adjacent to a respective corner of said mounting surface, and plural first solder balls (12; via balls coated with gold) on each reinforcing pads, each of said plural first solder balls separately attaching the semiconductor device to the circuit board, wherein said reinforcing pads each have a periphery adjacent to said plural ones

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196

Art Unit: 2827

of said first solder balls; with a plural second solder balls (via in contact with center pad portion) and not on reinforcing pads (via end pads).

- 15. Chiu does not appear to disclose that the pads when seen in plan view of said mounting surface is at least semicircular.
- 16. Potter (Fig 5) utilizes pads (12, 22) that are at least semicircular.
- 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form conductive material that is least semicircular on Chiu in order to provide pads/contacts as required by Chiu.
- 18. Claims 9, 10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chui (US 5,955,784) in combination Darveaux et al. (U.S 6,201,305).
- 19. Chiu discloses the elements of paragraph 14 and further discloses at least four balls at ends that are away from the center and therefore distal, that is in line with the periphery of the pad, but does not appear to disclose the reinforcing pad comprises an V or X shape.
- 20. However Darveaux (Fig 3A) utilizes an X shape pad (28) that is formed of V shapes with a periphery that has roundness that when seen in a plan view is semicircular.
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form conductive material that is X shape, which also comprises a V shape on Chiu, such that the balls are formed are at distal ends of the V or X shaped pads with semicircular ends in order to provide pads as required by Chiu.
- 22. Further in regards to the shape of the pads, see paragraph 12.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196 Page 6

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or 9proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DAVID E. GRAYBILL
PRIMARY EXAMINES

XM & MY